

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,055	04/09/2004	I-Chang Tsao	12404-US-PA	3054
31561 JIANO CHYU	7590 08/09/2007 N INTELLECTUAL PROF	EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
TAIPEI, 100	ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			1725	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,055	TSAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DIVIC CET TO EVDIDE 2 M	ONTH/S) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>5 May 2007</u> .	,				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	l Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	The state of the s					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
•						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview S Paper No(s	dummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/709,055

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (USPAP 2002/0004292) in view of Tanabe et al. (USPN 6,861,614).

Yamazaki et al. discloses a laser annealing apparatus and a method of annealing an amorphous silicon film. Laser light is generated and emitted from a source and then split. The split laser light is used to irradiate the front (primary beam) and the back (secondary beam) of the amorphous semiconductor film. This is shown in figures 3 and 18. It can be seen that the primary beam has a shorter path length than the secondary laser beam. An excimer laser may be used as the laser source. Additionally, an optical system may be used to generate harmonics. Photomasks may be used to generate predetermined patterns. The light source optical system is made up of a light source, an optical system, a reflector, a lens array, a polarization converting element and a condenser lens. (abstract, figures, 0005, 0049, 0061, 0063, 0104, 0128, 0129, 0180)

Although Yamazaki et al. discloses a photomask, the placing of the mask in the optical path is not taught.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,055

Art Unit: 1725

Tanabe et al. discloses a system for forming and modifying thin silicon films. A photomask is used to project a light pattern, which exposes the thin film(s) and thus modifies them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a photomask in the optical path, as taught by Tanabe et al. in the Yamazaki et al. system because allows more control of the film modification.

Duplication of parts was held to have been obvious. In re Harza 124 USPQ 378.

Claims 6-11 & 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. and Tanabe et al., as stated in the above paragraph and further in view of Jung (USPN 6,825,493).

Yamazaki et al. and Tanabe et al. do not teach (i) a photomask with a stripe pattern, (ii) a lens disposed on the optical path in front of the photomask and (iii) annealing amorphous silicon films in regions.

Jung discloses a silicon crystallization method using a photomask having a stripe pattern (38) and a condenser lens (40) is in front of the photomask. Figure 9b shows a striped crystallization pattern.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a striped photomask, a lens in front of the mask and annealing regions as taught by Jung in the Yamazaki et al. and Tanabe et al. apparatus and process because these are merely variations of silicon recrystallization using laser annealing.

Art Unit: 1725

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to use more that one photomask because it would enhance the efficiency of producing the product.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that a second photomask is not taught by the prior art. The examiner respectfully notes that: Duplication of parts was held to have been obvious. In re Harza 124 USPQ 378.

Applicant argues that the position in the optical path is not disclosed. The examiner respectfully notes that: Although Yamazaki et al. discloses a photomask, the placing of the mask in the optical path is not taught.

Tanabe et al. discloses a system for forming and modifying thin silicon films. A photomask is used to project a light pattern, which exposes the thin film(s) and thus modifies them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a photomask in the optical path, as taught by Tanabe et al. in the Yamazaki et al. system because allows more control of the film modification.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 6, 2007.

/M. Alexandra Elve/ M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner 1725